## Car Workers Reject "All Blacks" Tour

At 9.00 am on Wednesday July 10 Volkswagen management closed its Uitenhage plant and sent home the 2,000 workers. The workers - members of the National Automobile and Allied Workers Union (NAAWU) - had downed tools in protest over the company's plans to loan 12 micro buses - painted in All Blacks and Springbok rugby colours - to the South African Rugby Board, as a company promotion exercise. Shop stewards were mandated by workers to request management not to proceed with the loan.

NAAWU issued an official statement:

We are opposed to international links which do not further the interests of the oppressed in South Africa. Our members in Uitenhage are protesting against the building of buses for the pleasure of a privileged minority while our townships burn.

(Les Kettledas, NAAWU Eastern Cape Regional Secretary)

Joe Foster, General Secretary of FOSATU issued the following statement for the Federation:

The action taken is in line with FOSATU's position. Our Central Committee has passed a resolution supporting those opposed to the All Blacks rugby tour.

We have telegrammed our opposition to the tour to the New Zealand Federation of Labour. They replied that they would do all in their power to prevent the tour.

On Friday, July 12, as the <u>Bulletin</u> went to press, workers were still on strike. Report-back meetings were planned. In the meantime Volkswagen has agreed not to release the buses until discussions have been held with the union on Monday July 15.

THERE CAN BE NO NORMAL SPORT IN AN ABNORMAL SOCIETY

(Eastern Cape correspondent, July 1985)

egularly in spite of harassment. We called for the return to ffices of officials except those being hunted more than others.

ontact at national level was established, and a decision was aken to hold a CEC [Central Executive Committee] on the 1st July. whole range of demands were also prepared and circulated for iscussion at all levels of the organisation. The CEC meeting also nvolved local representatives. Arising out of the CEC was a broad lan of action.

he state of emergency was seen as an attack on the people of outh Africa and on their democratic and human rights. It was also sen as an attack on COSATU and its affiliates through harassment, stention and office raids. It is a political attack on the labour ovement and an attack which we could not allow to go unchallenged. onsequently a systematic programme of action was drafted to chieve the demands that we set out. We called for an end to all arassment of workers, shop stewards and officials; the release of 11 detained leaders; an end to repression and a move towards democratic solutions to the problems of our country.

ealso made demands that related specifically to our continued peration on the shop floor. [The demands included paid time off or shop stewards to attend to union business; guaranteed jobs and many for detainess; the right to hold union meetings on company toperty on company time. These demands were largely conceded by the major employers federations. — ed.]

≥ further decided that if these demands were not met by the 10th uly, COSATU would be forced to take further action. This would indamentally place the whole system of industrial relations into ≥rious jeopardy, but our belief is that these structures that ave been won through bitter struggle and negotiation depend on here being a balance in the power relations between labour and apital. The repressive actions taken against us have severely isadvantaged trade unions in their continued participation in hese structures. COSATU therefore reserves its right to initiate urther action.

fter the first spate of detentions there was a spontaneous reacion with thousands of workers coming out on strike, especially in retail sector, but what began to emerge very rapidly was a ore co-ordinated response to the attack on us.

## - Rocla strike -

On Monday June 17 another meeting was held with management. When the shop stewards clocked out the rest of the workers followed suit and left the company premises. At this meeting the liaison committee was present again. The shop stewards then decided to leave the meeting and returned to find the rest of the workers on strike. In a hurriedly convened report back meeting the workers decided not to go back until management gave an undertaking to recognise the shop stewards committee and not the liaison committee. They then decided to march peacefully to the Rocla head office which was near the factory. At first management ignored the workers but under pressure by the afternoon decided to approach the workers.

Montanu Dudlane, chairman of the shop stewards committee, explained:

Management wanted to know the reason workers were on strike. They told them that it was because of the clock out which resulted in shop stewards losing money. They also said that they wanted the shop stewards and the union to be recognised as well as the demands of the petition met.

The management of Rocla refused to accede to the workers demands and for two days the workers assembled outside the head office. Although the police were present, and kept a careful watch, no arrests were made. Union telexes and attempts to get management to the negotiating table during the early stages of the strike proved futile.

On Wednesday June 19 BCAWU general secretary, Aaron Nithinya, met management who insisted that no negotiations will take place unless the workers returned to work. When this was explained to the workers they refused to return unless management informed them personally of this. This was done over the public address system and the workers reluctantly returned. Although the strike has ended the workers demands remain to be met.

(Roodepoort correspondent, July 1985)