NATIONAL CONVENTION—Continued

We abused the flexibility of a constitution under which our country could have developed with changing times, and we moved *backwards* towards government by force and *away* from government by representation and agreement.

The most restrictive and oppressive laws which are passed by our rulers do not affect the people who have the vote; in fact, they are designed to keep the voters in a favoured position of privilege, earned by virtue of having a white skin. The official opposition, being white, has therefore no real incentive to get rid of a government which trics to entrench white supremacy.

What then can the dissatisfied non-white South Africans do, the vast majority of South Africans, to bring about change? Effective political organisation, strikes, passive resistance, protest demontrations, offering themselves for arrest, burning passbooks, all these things are made illegal and are put down by force. This causes race hatred, leads to bloodshed, disrupts normal life, and destroys confidence in our economic future. Only at the end of this miserable and wasteful process is the white voter affected, and only then does he begin to think about the disadvantages of government policy because it affects his pocket.

This stupidly indirect method of having to show up an inadequate government by violence. strikes and so on, and by economic collapse. must be changed, and the machinery of an efficient parliamentary democracy must be installed.

But the only big opposition party, the United Party, has made absolutely no promises that, if it should get into power, it will widen the franchise sufficiently to give our non-white South Africans an effective say in government. Nor has the United Party much hope of success, since the rules of a free election are already being ignored by the Government, as the S.A.B.C. and the education system are being used unscrupulously to instil Nationalist attitudes into white voters.

Is there then any good reason for throwing all one's energies into an election* which offers no hope for future peaceful change, whichever way the result goes?

The small political parties which do advocate real increase in suffrage can and will use the election to get across their policies to a very small group of voters, persuading them that it is far-sighted and enlightened self-interest to estabtish a real democracy, as well as being right and just.

There is, however, no time for this slow process of education to bear fruit, because, on the one

* This memorandum was compiled prior to the recent general election.

A Demand that will become Irresistible

W E are dealing here with a demand so basically simple, so eminently reasonable and so obviously essential that it must in time become irresistible ... Unless we are to erupt in violence and to end in chaos, this is clearly an essential demand in the present crisis in which we find ourselves ... Our demand is that people should come together and talk and agree to draw up a new democratic constitution. When they do so, it must be at talks representative of the whole nation, and their decisions must be binding on the whole nation. We come with no prior demands about what should be embodied in the new Constitution, though most of us know what we want; all we ask is that we hammer out

by common agreement, a solution to the problems which confront us all.

The demand for a convention must become a powerful force backed by the overwhelming majority of our nation. There is a further advantage: what we are presenting is an idea, and ideas are indestructible. They are impervious to bans. And although Dr. Verwoerd must in time try to ban this idea, as he has banned people and organisations, he is wasting his time. The idea will endure, will persist and will grow into a mighty force.

-Mr. Dennis Brutus, in an address to the Cape Provincial Consultative Conference organised by the S.A. Convention Movement, 14th October, 1961.