

there would have been the usual accusation of their having had a "tip-off" or "inside information". They might even have been accused of inciting violence by their mere presence.

Secondly, not long before this incident, members of COSATU were cruelly beaten by the police. Is it not strange that the police are suddenly so eager to protect COSATU House. Their diligence in trying to find the perpetrators of this outrage is commendable. No ordinary police force would have thought of searching, amongst other places, an entire block of flats in Hillbrow for those responsible. The fact that this turned out to be a crackdown on those breaking the provisions of the Group Areas Act is seen as coincidental – by those with blinkers.

Thirdly, the dark hints that the perpetrators of the bomb-blast are a section of the oppressed themselves, impresses nobody. It will not create divisions amongst the oppressed.

"A people united will never be defeated!"

Yours in the struggle,

"Who's Fooling Who?"

---

## JUST FOR THE RECORD

1. Eugene Terreblanche, leader of the AWB and who belongs to a prehistoric age referred to the African and Coloured people as "Kaffirs and bastards". No expression of outrage from the ruling class, no Munnik-like commission of inquiry; no investigation by the Police of the clear offence of *crimen injuria*. We wonder why? Is it because the powers-that-be themselves think in terms of "Kaffir, Coolie and bastard" and amongst themselves in private even use these insulting words? We believe that Herrenvolkism is so deeply imprinted in their brains that it would come as no surprise to us if indeed, they did bandy those words in their minds and in private conversation. In public, they still think those insulting words, but between the brain and the lips, diplomacy steps in and the words "Kaffirs and bastards" are translated into "Blacks and Coloureds".
2. When the Pietermaritzburg City Council was tackled about the invitation to renegade Rajbansi to officially open the Khan Road Civic Centre and Sports complex, it used the evasion of etiquette to wriggle out. It stated that the invitation was already accepted and, therefore, there was no way it could cancel or take back the invitation. We are not prepared to make that concession. For a start, if we are going to talk about etiquette, then the **first step** should have been to ascertain the wishes of the people as to who they wanted to open the complex. Secondly, the courtesy which the City Council accorded to Rajbansi is a courtesy practised among ordinary people in their daily social intercourse. Rajbansi does not belong to ordinary people. He is a traitor to the ordinary people. He is a political outcast. Outcasts are not entitled to courtesy.